Economics 210c/236a Fall 2011 Christina Romer David Romer

LECTURE 14 The Determinants of Macroeconomic Policy: The Postwar Era



December 7, 2011

I. INTRODUCTION



Inflation and Unemployment over the Postwar Era

II. RICHARD CLARIDA, JORDI GALÍ, AND MARK GERTLER, "MONETARY POLICY RULES AND MACROECONOMIC STABILITY: EVIDENCE AND SOME THEORY"

Taylor's Interest Rate Rule

$$i_t = 1\% + 1.5\pi_t + 0.5[\ln Y_t - \ln \overline{Y}_t],$$

or

$$i_t - \pi_t = 2\% + 0.5(\pi_t - 2\%) + 0.5[y_t - \bar{y}_t]$$

Estimating a Conventional (Backward-Looking) Interest Rate Rule

$$i_t = \alpha + \beta \pi_t + \gamma (y_t - \overline{y}_t) + w_t,$$

or

$$i_t = \mathsf{r}^{EQ} + \pi^* + \beta(\pi_t - \pi^*) + \gamma(y_t - \overline{y}_t) + w_t.$$

One could consider estimating this by OLS.

Derivation of the Equation that CGG Estimate (I)

Model:

(1)
$$i_t^* = r^{EQ} + \pi^* + \beta [E_t \pi_{t+1} - \pi^*] + \gamma [E_t (y_{t+1} - \overline{y}_{t+1})],$$

(2)
$$i_t = \rho i_{t-1} + (1 - \rho) i_t^* + e_t.$$

Dealing with expectations:

$$\pi_{t+1} = E_t \pi_{t+1} + u_{t+1},$$
$$y_{t+1} - \overline{y}_{t+1} = E_t [y_{t+1} - \overline{y}_{t+1}] + v_{t+1},$$

where u_{t+1} and v_{t+1} are uncorrelated with anything known at t.

Derivation of the Equation that CGG Estimate (II)

Algebra yields:

$$i_t = A + \rho i_{t-1} + (1 - \rho)\beta \pi_{t+1} + (1 - \rho)\gamma(y_{t+1} - \bar{y}_{t+1}) + \varepsilon_{t+1},$$

where

$$A \equiv (1 - \rho)[r^{EQ} + (1 - \beta)\pi^*],$$
$$\varepsilon_{t+1} = e_t - (1 - \rho)\beta u_{t+1} - (1 - \rho)\gamma v_{t+1}.$$

DASELINE ESTIMATES								
	π*	β	γ	ρ	p			
Pre-Volcker	4.24	0.83	0.27	0.68	0.834			
	(1.09)	(0.07)	(0.08)	(0.05)				
Volcker-Greenspan	3.58	2.15	0.93	0.79	0.316			
	(0.50)	(0.40)	(0.42)	(0.04)				

TABLE II BASELINE ESTIMATES

Standard errors are reported in parentheses. The set of instruments includes four lags of inflation: output gap, the federal funds rate, the short-long spread, and commodity price inflation.

Source: Clarida, Galí, and Gertler.

	International Gold Standard Era							
Variable	1879:1–91:4 Coefficient	1897:1–1914:4 Coefficient	1879:1–1914:4 Coefficient					
Constant	6.458 (70.5)	5.519 (47.3)	5.984 (75.0)					
π	0.019 (1.01)	0.034 (1.03)	0.006 (0.32)					
у	0.059 (2.28)	0.038 (1.89)	0.034 (1.52)					
R^2	0.15	0.07	0.02					
	Bretton Woods and Post-Bretton Woods Eras							
	1960:179:4	1987:1-97:3	1954:1-97:3					
	Coefficient	Coefficient	Coefficient					
Constant	2.045 (6.34)	1.174 (2.35)	1.721 (5.15)					
π	0.813 (12.9)	1.533 (9.71)	1.101 (15.1)					
у	0.252 (4.93)	0.765 (8.22)	0.329 (3.16)					
R ²	0.70	0.83	0.58					

Note: These are ordinary least squares estimates of the coefficients of the variables in eq. (1). The left-hand-side variable (r) is measured by the commercial paper rate for the years 1879–1914 and by the federal funds rate for the years 1954–97. The variable π is measured by the average inflation rate over four quarters, and the variable y is measured by the percentage deviation of real output from a trend. Numbers in parentheses are ratios of coefficients to standard errors. See figs. 7.1 and 7.2 for data sources.

Source: Taylor (1999).



Source: Clarida, Galí, and Gertler.



Note: Rules 1 and 2 are given by the monetary policy rule in eq. (1) with g = 0.5 and 1.0, respectively.

Source: Taylor (1999).

III. ATHANASIOS ORPHANIDES. 2003. "THE QUEST FOR PROSPERITY WITHOUT INFLATION"

Orphanides's Framework

Suppose you want to follow

$$i_t = \pi_t + r_t^{EQ} + b(\pi_t - \pi^*) + c(Y_t - \overline{Y}_t)$$
$$\equiv i_t^{RULE}.$$

But suppose you actually follow:

$$i_t = \tilde{\pi}_t + \tilde{r}_t^{EQ} + b(\tilde{\pi}_t - \pi^*) + c(\tilde{Y}_t - \tilde{\bar{Y}}_t).$$

This implies:

$$\begin{split} i_t &= i_t^{RULE} + \left(\tilde{r}_t^{EQ} - r_t^{EQ}\right) + (1+b)(\tilde{\pi}_t - \pi_t) \\ &+ c \big[\left(\tilde{Y}_t - \tilde{\bar{Y}}_t\right) - (Y_t - \bar{Y}_t) \big]. \end{split}$$



Fig. 2. Real-time misperceptions. Real-time data reflect information as of the middle of the quarter shown. Final data reflect historical information with data available at the end of 1994. See also notes to Fig. 1.

Source: Orphanides.



Fig. 2. Real-time misperceptions. Real-time data reflect information as of the middle of the quarter shown. Final data reflect historical information with data available at the end of 1994. See also notes to Fig. 1.

Source: Orphanides.



Fig. 5. Then and now: Taylor rule with final and real-time data.



"A 4% unemployment rate is used in calculating fullemployment receipts and outlays as a conventional standard To serve this purpose the unemployment rate used ... must be reasonably stable from year to year. However, this does not mean that the feasible and proper target for unemployment is always represented by the same figure. In fact, ... a 4% overall unemployment rate today would mean much tighter conditions in labor markets than would have been true ten or twenty years ago."

Richard Nixon, "Annual Budget Message to the Congress, Fiscal Year 1975," February 4, 1974.





Chart 3

Ratio of High-Employment Surplus to Potential GDP



Possible Determinants of Policy

- Technical problems (Orphanides, Brunner and Meltzer, Calormiris and Wheelock)
- Politics (Meltzer)
- Preferences (Favero and Rovelli)
- Ideas (Friedman and Schwartz, DeLong, Mayer, Romer and Romer)

IV. ROMER AND ROMER, "THE EVOLUTION OF ECONOMIC UNDERSTANDING AND POSTWAR STABILIZATION POLICY"

Key Characteristics of Policymakers' Framework

- Normal or sustainable rate of unemployment
- Belief in a permanent inflation-unemployment tradeoff
- Sensitivity of inflation to slack

Narrative Sources

- Record of Policy Actions and Minutes of the FOMC
- Economic Report of the President

						1980s &	;
			Early	Mid	Late	Early	Late
Characteristic	<u>1950s</u>	<u>1960s</u>	1970s	<u>1970s</u>	1970s	1990s	<u>1990s</u>
Normal u or ū	4.5-5%	4%	4%	5.5%	5.0%	6-7%	5%
Belief in a permanent π-u trade-off	No (Perhaps a positive relationship)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No (perhaps a positive relationship)
Sensitivity of	Medium	N/A	Initially	Medium	Very	Medium	Medium
n to stack		with u	very low		IOW		

In 1959, when the current rate of unemployment was 5.0%, the chief economist of the Board of Governors said:

"[t]he economy is approaching the limits of resource utilization."

(*Minutes*, 6/16/59, p. 6)

Characteristics of Policymakers' Economic Framework in Different Eras

<u>Characteristic</u>	<u>1950s</u>	<u>1960s</u>	Early <u>1970s</u>	Mid <u>1970s</u>	Late <u>1970s</u>	1980s & Early <u>1990s</u>	Late 1990s
Normal u or ū	4.5-5%	4%	4%	5.5%	5.0%	6-7%	5%
Belief in a permanent π-u trade-off	No (Perhaps a positive relationship	Yes	No	No	No	No r	No (perhaps a positive elationship)
Sensitivity of π to slack	Medium	N/A π varies with u	Initially high, then very low	Medium	Very low	Medium	Medium

Of inflation in the second half of 1967 (when unemployment was 3.9%), the *Economic Report* stated:

Demand was not yet pressing on productive capacity – over-all or in most major sectors. The period of slow expansion [from mid-1966 to mid-1967] had created enough slack so that production could respond to increasing demand without significant strain on productive resources.

(*EROP*, 1968, p. 105)

Characteristics of Policymakers' Economic Framework in Different Eras

						1980s &	
<u>Characteristic</u>	<u>1950s</u>	<u>1960s</u>	Early <u>1970s</u>	Mid <u>1970s</u>	Late <u>1970s</u>	Early <u>1990s</u>	Late 1990s
Normal u or \overline{u}	4.5-5%	4%	4%	5.5%	5.0%	6-7%	5%
Belief in a permanent π-u trade-off	No (Perhaps a positive relationship)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No (perhaps a positive elationship)
Sensitivity of π to slack	Medium	N/A π varies with u	Initially high, then very low	Medium	Very low	Medium	Medium

The 1970 *Economic Report* said:

output will be below its potential and the rate of inflation, while declining, will probably still be too high. The transition to an economy growing along the path of potential output at full employment with reasonable price stability will not have been completed.

(EROP, 1970, p. 65)

Arthur Burns concluded that:

monetary policy could do very little to arrest an inflation that rested so heavily on wagecost pressures. In his judgment a much higher rate of unemployment produced by monetary policy would not moderate such pressures appreciably.

(Minutes, 6/8/71, p. 51)

The 1979 *Economic Report* stated:

"The stubborn resistance of inflation to the traditional remedies reflects the fact that the rate of wage and price increase is relatively inflexible in the face of slack demand," and that "[r]eductions in output and major increases in unemployment are no longer as effective in slowing the rate of wage and price increase"

(*EROP*, 1979, p. 78)

Chart 1 Average Greenbook Forecast Errors for Inflation



Table 1Summary Statistics for Greenbook Inflation Forecast Errors

	Martin	Bu	irns	Miller	Volcker	Greenspan	Pre-Volcker	Post-Volcker
	(67:10-70:1)	(70:2-75:6)	(75:7-78:2)	(78:3-79:7)	(79:8-87:7)	(87:8-96:12)	(67:10-79:7)	(79:8-96:12)
Root Mean Square Error	1.1	2.2	1.1	1.1	0.8	0.5	1.7	0.7
Average Forecast Error	1.0	1.3	-0.2	0.9	-0.4	-0.3	0.8	-0.3
Ν	20	61	32	15	66	76	128	142

Note: The inflation forecast errors are for forecasts of average inflation at an annual rate in the quarter of the forecast and the subsequent two quarters. The data are in percentage points.

Table 2Summary Statistics for the Natural Rate of UnemploymentImplicit in Greenbook Forecasts

	Martin	Bur	ns	Miller	Volcker	Greenspan	Pre-Volcker	Post-Volcker
	(67:10-70:1)	(70:2-75:6)	(75:7-78:2)	(78:3-79:7)	(79:8-87:7)	(87:8-96:12)	(67:10-79:7)	(79:8-96:12)
Mean	2.5%	3.1%	8.2%	4.6%	8.0%	6.7%	4.5%	7.3%
Standard deviation	1.6	3.5	2.5	2.2	2.4	1.8	3.6	2.2
Ν	20	61	32	15	66	76	128	142

Note: The implicit estimates of the natural rate are computed from the forecasts of unemployment and the change in inflation in the quarter of the forecast and the two subsequent quarters. See text for details.

In 1955, one FOMC member said:

"I feel that there are inflationary pressures present which should be checked now by a firmer monetary policy—one firm enough to curtail spending and thus dampen price pressures."

(*Minutes*, November 16, 1955, p. 20)

In July 1971, Arthur Burns said:

"[A] substantial increase in unemployment has failed to check the rapidity of wage advances or to moderate appreciably the rise of the general price level. With increasing conviction, I have therefore come to believe that our Nation must supplement monetary and fiscal policy with specific policies to moderate wage and price increases."

(Bulletin, July 1971, p. 596)

Specification of Monetary Policy Rule

(4)
$$r^{DT}_{t} = \alpha + \beta \pi_{t} + \gamma (Y_{t} - \overline{Y}_{t}) + \rho r^{DT}_{t-1}$$

- r^{DT}_{t} is the detrended ex ante real interest rate π is inflation
- Y is the log of output
- $\overline{\mathbf{Y}}$ is the log of normal or trend output
- $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ reflects the target rate of inflation
- a and b are positive parameters

Chart 5

Actual Real Federal Funds Rate and Predicted Rate from a Post-1979 Monetary Rule



V. DEAN SCRIMGEOUR, "THE GREAT INFLATION WAS NOT ASYMMETRIC: INTERNATIONAL EVIDENCE"

Inflation in Five Countries



What does the fact that the Great Inflation affected many countries suggest about its causes?

Inflation Rate (GDP Deflator)





Fig. 1. Inflation in Four OECD Countries, 1957-2003.

From: Scrimgeour (2008)

TABLE 2

CHRONOLOGY OF THE GREAT INFLATION

Country	Start year	Peak year	Finish year	Proportion in ascent	Excess years in ascent
Germany	1969	1972	1981	25.0	-6
Austria	1970	1974	1983	30.8	-5
Australia	1968	1975	1990	31.8	-8
United Kingdom	1967	1975	1992	32.0	-9
Luxembourg	1968	1974	1986	33.3	-6
Belgium	1969	1975	1985	37.5	-4
Canada	1967	1974	1984	41.2	-3
Italy	1969	1980	1995	42.3	-4
Greece	1968	1982	1999	45.2	-3
Sweden	1964	1977	1992	46.4	-2
Spain	1961	1977	1995	47.1	-2
Finland	1958	1974	1990	50.0	0
Switzerland	1969	1972	1975	50.0	0
Portugal	1968	1983	1996	53.6	2
Ireland	1963	1976	1987	54.2	2
New Zealand	1967	1979	1989	54.5	2
France	1968	1978	1986	55.6	2
Denmark	1958	1975	1988	56.7	4
Netherlands	1962	1974	1981	63.2	5
Iceland	1958	1981	1992	67.6	12
Norway	1963	1981	1989	69.2	10
Japan	1958	1974	1980	72.7	10
United States	1969	1980	1983	78.6	8
Mean inflation	1963	1975	1992	41.4	-5
Median inflation	1964	1975	1989	44.0	-3

From: Scrimgeour (2008)

What does the fact that the Great Inflation was asymmetric in some countries and not others suggest about its causes?